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Foreword from Vittorio Colao, Vodafone Group Chief Executive Officer

One third of humanity is fed through an estimated 500 million1 smallholder farms with less than two hectares of land. In Asia and sub-Saharan Africa the dependence is even higher, where small farms produce about 80%2 of the food consumed.

These holdings are typically managed by families with limited technical and mechanical support and with poor access to finance. It is often difficult for them to make ends meet, let alone grow their business. Looking ahead, the impact of climate change, water scarcity and increasing land scarcity will make this even more difficult. With the world’s population expected to grow by 750 million in 2020, and demand for food to increase by 70% by 2050, it is clear that something has to be done to improve the efficiency of food production and distribution.

Mobile has already had a huge impact on society, so much so that Jeffrey Sachs, Director of the Earth Institute at Columbia University, has described the mobile phone as the ‘single most transformative tool for development’. In part, this is because a mobile phone does not have the same barriers to access as other forms of technology and is simple, inexpensive and convenient to use. Access to mobile networks is now widely available, even in remote areas. Soon it will be possible for everyone and – just as importantly – everything to be connected. This not only offers people the ability to stay in touch with friends and family but it also provides access to finance, improved healthcare solutions, supply chain efficiencies and increasingly automated mobility. As well as providing benefits to society, these services are commercially successful and therefore, we believe, more sustainable in the long term.

Vodafone’s footprint extends across many parts of Africa and India. In addition, we provide telecommunications services to some of the world’s largest food and agricultural commodity businesses. It is a logical step for us to explore ways in which mobile could improve productivity and income for agricultural producers, and reduce costs and improve traceability for buyers and processors.

This report outlines some of our initial ideas. We cannot act on them without the support of partners. As well as suggesting ways in which mobile can improve agricultural productivity, this report is also an invitation to our customers, our suppliers, government organisations and non-government organisations (NGOs). We would like to collaborate with you to drive positive change in this vital sector.

I hope you find our ideas inspiring.

Vittorio Colao, Vodafone Group Chief Executive Officer

---

1 Oxfam (2011) ‘Who will feed the world?’
2 International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) (2011) ‘Smallholders can feed the world’
Foreword from Peter Lacy, Managing Director, Accenture Sustainability Services, EALA

The telecommunications industry has long been at the heart of global commerce, having transformed businesses across all industry sectors.

However, it is only in recent years that mobile communications technology has been widely accepted as an enabler of sustainable growth. In developing markets, where the deployment of mobile telecommunications networks has surpassed traditional fixed-line technology, the mobile telecoms industry is well-placed as an enabler of higher performance in the value chain. There is a distinct need for market-led opportunities, and the opportunity for mobile operators to deliver these is significant.

It is to this end that Vodafone and Accenture have joined forces, together with Oxfam, to identify the key underlying issues which could be addressed through the application of mobile technology, and to quantify the potential benefits delivered. Vodafone and its industry peers have a clear role to play in enabling higher efficiency in the food and agricultural value chain and, in doing so, can deliver both direct and indirect benefits to society and the environment.

Twelve opportunities have been identified that could have widespread multiplier effects on the lives and livelihoods of many smallholder farmers in developing countries through the provision of better access to markets, information and finance.

In 26 countries across Vodafone’s footprint, these 12 opportunities could together increase agricultural income by US$138 billion in 2020, an increase of 11%. Additional benefits could include avoided greenhouse gas emissions and reduced freshwater withdrawals. It is estimated that around 549 million mobile connections to services will be required to realise these benefits.

The findings and recommendations provide a firm basis to bring together the multiple stakeholders that will be needed for long-term success. Mobile telecom operators will need to work with governments, NGOs, donors and the private sector to develop and implement new opportunities to drive high performance in the sector.

Peter Lacy, Managing Director, Accenture Sustainability Services, EALA

These 12 communications opportunities can drive real efficiency in food and agriculture value chains, increasing farmers’ income by 11% – or US$138 billion – in 2020 and reducing waste and environmental impact.
Foreword from Dame Barbara Stocking, Chief Executive Officer, Oxfam

Oxfam welcomes this report. Its focus on the opportunity to improve agricultural productivity using mobile services highlights the opportunity to bring new investment to a key group: smallholder farmers. Oxfam recognises that mobile telephony could have significant potential to help the poorest farmers towards greater food and income security.

An estimated 1.5 to 2 billion people worldwide are dependent on smallholder agriculture and these smallholders include half the world’s under-nourished people. Investment that can increase the productivity and incomes of smallholders – particularly female smallholders – remains the best opportunity for these 1.5 to 2 billion people worldwide to feed themselves and trade their way out of poverty. Investment in agriculture is complex, but has huge potential to impact the major development issues we face today: climate change and hunger; economic growth and gender inequity; poor health and nutrition; and environmental sustainability. Investing in smallholder agriculture lies at the heart of Oxfam’s long-term strategy both to increase the productivity of subsistence farmers, as well as to increase smallholders’, particularly women’s, abilities to participate in agricultural markets.

Oxfam recognises that mobile telephony could have significant potential to help the poorest farmers towards greater food and income security. In Tanzania, we are trialling a programme working with government to monitor the quality of government services to farmers using mobile telephony. In Cambodia, the Philippines and Indonesia, we are testing market information accessibility through SMS servicing, and in Bangladesh, we are working to provide storm warnings to fishing communities via mobile phones.

Oxfam has not been involved in modelling the potential impacts included in the report and therefore, we cannot comment on how these impacts have been quantified. However, we particularly welcome the focus that this research places on:

- Mobile financial services and mobile information platforms as areas offering significant potential to support the poorest to invest in their farms. Mobile financial services can fill the banking gap felt by the poorest farmers. With access to savings or insurance services, farmers can reduce the impact of extreme weather events and invest in improving production. Meanwhile, mobile information platforms open up significant additional routes to potential markets, relaying information on prices for inputs and produce sales, as well as information on how to grow and respond to a context of climate change through the dissemination of reliable seasonal weather forecasts
  4 Access to reliable seasonal forecasts, plus support on how to use that information, has been demonstrated to increase yields by 9.4–18.7% in Zimbabwe, with even greater benefits reported from some other parts of the world. Ref: Anthony Patt, Pablo Suarez, and Chiedza Gwata (2005). Effects of seasonal climate forecasts and participatory workshops among subsistence farmers in Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America; vol. 102; no. 35; 12,623–12,628.

- How core business, rather than corporate philanthropy, can operate to have positive developmental impact

- The importance of developing new business models – models that offer greater opportunities and reduce risks for smallholders, as either suppliers or consumers in the value chain.

---

3 WDR (2008) ‘Agriculture for Development’ (p.3) states that there are 1.5 billion people in smallholder households; P. Hazell, C. Poulton, S. Wiggins and A. Dorward (2006) ‘The Future of Small Farms’ (Synthesis Paper) states over 2 billion. These smallholders include half of the world’s undernourished people, three-quarters of Africa’s malnourished children, and the majority of people living in absolute poverty (IFPRI, 2005).
To ensure that the focus areas above can most effectively assist with poverty reduction, it will be useful to take a further look at the following qualitative aspects of deploying new mobile technologies:

- How mobile technology could improve the efficiency of government safety net systems that assist the poorest and most food insecure small farmers – rather than looking only at the role that mobile technology can play in increasing farmer productivity and income from agriculture.

- How companies such as Vodafone can better understand, document and address barriers to the use of mobile technology affecting women. Getting new technology owned and used by women often carries significant challenges, for example overcoming illiteracy and cultural norms which mean that men tend to be the early owners and beneficiaries of new technologies.

- How mobile technology could drive new agricultural practices rather than simply greater efficiency in current practices, particularly around climate change adaptation, and ensuring focus is given to a full range of opportunities around climate change adaptation.

Oxfam welcomes the contribution that this report makes to the area of mobile technology and sustainable, pro-poor, food and agricultural value chains. As an organisation, we look forward to contributing evidence to the growing knowledge base on this area from our global programme work. Finally, we would encourage donors and NGOs to support companies such as Vodafone who are willing to invest in new business models to enable more resilient, inclusive and sustainable agricultural development.

Dame Barbara Stocking, Chief Executive Officer, Oxfam

Oxfam welcomes this report. Its focus on the opportunity to improve agricultural productivity using mobile services highlights the opportunity to bring new investment to a key group: smallholder farmers. Oxfam recognises that mobile telephony could have significant potential to help the poorest farmers towards greater food and income security.
Executive summary

Mobile communications can help to meet the challenge of feeding an estimated 9.2 billion people by 2050. The 12 specific opportunities explored in this study could increase agricultural income by around US$138 billion across 26 of Vodafone’s markets in 2020.

They could also cut carbon dioxide emissions by approximately 5 mega tonnes (Mt) in those markets and reduce freshwater withdrawals for agricultural irrigation by 6%, with significant savings in water-stressed regions. These benefits assume there will be around 549 million mobile connections to relevant services in 2020.

This report aims to stimulate the necessary engagement between mobile operators, governments, NGOs and businesses to realise these opportunities and explore others.

Benefits

The opportunities studied here would improve the efficiency of the agriculture and food sectors as well as helping to raise the incomes of millions of poor farmers in developing countries. Increased efficiency is also expected to lead to fewer food losses – an important aspect of meeting the world’s growing demand for adequate and affordable supplies of nutritious food.

These mobile services enable companies to access and interact directly with different participants in the value chain, helping to build visibility of issues, capacity and quality. They will support company sustainability objectives, and in particular, progress towards the UN Millennium Development Goals by helping to reduce poverty, improve health and increase funding for education.

The greatest potential benefits can be generated by enabling mobile financial payments and mobile information provision, each delivering almost 40% of the total estimated increase in agricultural income.
Opportunities

Mobile telecommunications can connect farmers to markets, finance and education, making it possible to monitor resources and track products. This unlocks productivity potential while helping to manage the impacts of increased production, such as increased water use and greenhouse gas emissions.

This study focuses on 12 opportunities that deliver broad socio-economic and environmental benefits. They are grouped in four categories that were identified through stakeholder consultations as the most important.

Mobile-enabled solutions for food and agriculture: 12 opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Improving access to financial services</strong></th>
<th>Mobile payment system</th>
<th>Increasing access and affordability of financial services tailored for agricultural purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micro-insurance system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micro-lending platform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision of agricultural information</strong></td>
<td>Mobile information platform</td>
<td>Delivering information relevant to farmers, such as agricultural techniques, commodity prices and weather forecasts, where traditional methods of communication are limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmer helpline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency</strong></td>
<td>Smart logistics</td>
<td>Optimising supply chain management across the sector, and delivering efficiency improvements for transportation logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traceability and tracking system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobile management of supplier networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobile management of distribution networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhancing access to markets</strong></td>
<td>Agricultural trading platform</td>
<td>Enhancing the link between commodity exchanges, traders, buyers and sellers of agricultural produce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural tendering platform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agricultural bartering platform</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

The systems required to deliver these opportunities are both complex and fragmented and, as such, need the collective support of key stakeholders across the agricultural supply chain. Mobile network operators are well-positioned to act as a catalyst for action. They have the technology, the distribution channels and the customer relationships to drive these initiatives forward. However, NGOs, private enterprises and governments must agree to contribute their knowledge and expertise in order to ensure the delivery of the benefits to their full potential.

Critical success factors include the development of local relationships and understanding, testing solutions and a sympathetic regulatory environment. Consolidating these elements will help to ensure that the content and methods of delivery are tailored to both markets and crop types, optimising the value for farmers. Governments will also benefit through improved data collection and efficient, secure methods of subsidy distribution and other transactions. Pilot projects will provide an opportunity to test the technology, explore delivery partnerships, and create new business models for the rural poor and other underserved groups, such as women farmers. A regulatory environment that supports these innovations, in terms of both the technology and the required business models, will be essential.

The potential multiplier effects of the social and economic benefits that these opportunities could deliver will reach well beyond the immediate value chain. For example, improved agricultural income can reduce pressure on social support systems. It is clearly in the interest of all stakeholders to work together to ensure success.
Context

The challenge

The world's population is projected to reach 9.2 billion by 2050. The UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) has estimated that farmers will need to produce 70% more food than in 2006 to meet this demand. Failure to do so will result in shortages and poorer health in developing countries, with damaging consequences for development and the potential for conflict within and between nations.

While agriculture productivity has been increasing, production capacity is growing slowly, and food security remains a serious issue in many countries due to rising prices as well as availability. Increasing costs of agricultural input, commodity speculation and competition with other uses for crops (e.g., biofuels) resulted in a food price spike in 2006–2008. In poorer nations, this increased the number of people considered to be 'food insecure' because they could not afford enough food even if it were available. Both productivity and absolute production need to increase if this issue is to be addressed.

Food security is essential to support the health and nutrition that are vital for sustained progress in developing nations. Up to one third of child mortality in these countries is a direct consequence of malnutrition. Diseases like malaria are also spreading to new geographic areas due to climate change, further impeding the productivity of agricultural workers and others. Tackling the impacts of climate change on malaria, malnutrition and diarrhoeal disease could add as much as 1% of current gross domestic product (GDP) in sub-Saharan Africa and South-East Asia up to 2030.

The OECD and FAO’s Agricultural Outlook for 2010–2019 suggests the world is on track to meet growing demand for food. But the agricultural sector will need to overcome four key hurdles as well as coping with rising production and distribution costs: changing land use and availability, growing water scarcity, climate change and food wastage.

- Land for agriculture is becoming more scarce. It is being lost to spreading urban and industrial areas, use for growing biofuel crops, soil erosion as a result of intensive and poor farming practices, and climate change. As people migrate to cities for better education and employment, there are also fewer families in rural areas to farm the land.

- Irrigated agriculture accounts for approximately 70% of global water usage, and by 2030 almost half of the world’s population will be affected by water scarcity. In many developing countries, irrigation makes up over 90% of water withdrawals.

- Climate change impacts farming practices and is influenced by them. Extreme weather events, such as droughts and flooding, as well as longer-term changes in climate, damage crop yields and change growing cycles. The agricultural industry is currently responsible for around 30% of the world’s carbon emissions. This could increase as a result of poor farming practices, deforestation and growing demand for animal protein in people’s diets.

- While improved agricultural productivity is essential, reducing waste will also increase availability. Around one third of the food in the supply chain is either lost or wasted at the farm, during storage and distribution, or in households.

References:
9 Accenture, GlaxoSmithKline and the Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment at the University of Oxford (May 2011) ‘Climate Change and Health: Framing the Issue’
The role of mobile

Mobile could have an important role to play in improving agricultural productivity in developing countries through services for small-scale farmers and the food supply chain. Vodafone commissioned Accenture in 2011 to research the potential for mobile technology to improve agricultural efficiency and productivity, increase the income of small-scale farmers and minimise the environmental impact of increasing food production.

This report provides insights into the opportunities, potential barriers and benefits of mobile in agriculture with recommendations for action by businesses, governments and NGOs. It is not intended to present mobile technology as the panacea for the complex challenges affecting food production and consumption. Instead it aims to provide a basis for discussions between individuals and organisations to create ideas, help prioritise opportunities with the biggest potential, and scale up many of the existing pilot programmes in this area.

The study concentrates on 12 opportunities for mobile technology, in four key areas highlighted by stakeholders: improving access to financial services, provision of agricultural information, improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency and enhancing access to markets. Where possible, researchers modelled the anticipated total number of mobile connections to each service in 2020 and the associated potential increase in agricultural incomes and reduction in carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions.

### The role of mobile in the agricultural supply chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value chain</th>
<th>Primary production</th>
<th>Aggregation and processing</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Retail and consumer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Enabling factors** | • Agricultural extension services  
• Access to financial services  
• Empowerment of women  
• Farmer co-operation  
• Health and nutrition  | • Post-harvest storage  
• Market access  
• Trade agreements  
• Transport infrastructure  
• Buyer–seller matching  
• Quality standards  | • Transport infrastructure  
• Traceability  
• Low carbon transportation  | • Consumer education  
• Matching supply and demand  
• Food appearance standards  |
| **Scope of mobile opportunity** | • Opportunities for mobile technology lie mainly in supporting smallholder farmers in the primary production and marketing processes, and improving the transport and aggregation of agricultural produce  
• Scope of mobile opportunity has identified four major levers  
• Improving access to financial services  
• Provision of agricultural information  
• Improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency  
• Enhancing access to markets  |
Scope and research methodology

This study focuses on the potential for market-based solutions to improve agricultural productivity. Non-market mechanisms such as government subsidies and infrastructure development are also important but are outside the scope of this research.

The opportunities covered here were identified through desk research and stakeholder consultation. Four workshops, in India, South Africa, Tanzania and the UK, provided insights from over 50 external stakeholders from the private, public and not-for-profit sectors, representing every stage of the value chain. In-depth interviews were also conducted with four additional external stakeholders (see page 39 for the list of stakeholders consulted).

This process identified the key challenges in the value chain and a list of approximately 100 potential opportunities. These were prioritised based on qualitative assessments to a shortlist of 12. Detailed information on the shortlisting process and assumptions underlying the analysis is available in the appendix (see page 33).

Each of the 12 opportunities was modelled across 26 countries in Africa, India, Australasia, Europe and the Middle East where Vodafone is present either through subsidiaries, joint ventures or associates.16

Countries considered in the study:

1. Albania
2. Australia
3. Czech Republic
4. Democratic Republic of Congo
5. Egypt
6. Germany
7. Ghana
8. Greece
9. Hungary
10. India
11. Ireland
12. Italy
13. Kenya
14. Lesotho
15. Malta
16. Mozambique
17. The Netherlands
18. New Zealand
19. Portugal
20. Qatar
21. Romania
22. South Africa
23. Spain
24. Tanzania
25. Turkey
26. UK

16 The 26 countries include 24 local markets where Vodafone has operational control, as well as Vodafone’s joint venture in Australia and Vodafone’s associate Safaricom in Kenya, which expressed specific interest in the study. The Democratic Republic of Congo, Lesotho and Mozambique are held indirectly through our subsidiary Vodacom Group Limited ('Vodacom') in South Africa.
For each opportunity – or service – we aimed to estimate:

- The anticipated total number of 'connections' – this describes the number of users connected to an individual service. The overall number of connections to services is likely to significantly exceed the number of people using mobile phones because each user can access more than one service via their mobile.
- Potential incremental increase in agricultural income – this estimates the income that the mobile service could help farmers obtain, in addition to their anticipated income. This is the total additional income in 2020.
- Potential carbon abatement – total CO₂ emissions that could be avoided by implementing the service.

For some of the opportunities, it was not appropriate or possible to quantitatively model one or more of these impacts – this is made clear in the findings where relevant. The potential to reduce food loss was considered for each opportunity but not modelled quantitatively due to a lack of data for some of the services and in some of the countries within the scope of the research.

This report presents a first step in looking at the synergies between the agriculture and mobile communications sector. It is anticipated that these 12 solutions will be adapted into a wider range of applications, beyond those considered in the scope of this report, as mobile operators better understand the needs of agricultural businesses and workers, and awareness of the functionality and limitations of mobile technology increases.

Focusing on smallholder farmers and developing countries

Globally, over 1 billion people are employed in agriculture and 22 million in food and drink industries. The majority of these people are small-scale farmers in developing countries. Smallholder farmers account for 60% of agriculture worldwide – and through an estimated 500 million smallholder farms they support a third of humanity.

Many of these farmers are isolated in remote communities, with minimal transport, communications infrastructure or access to basic financial services.

Given the scale of production undertaken by smallholders, we have included shortlisting criteria (see page 33) and metrics (see page 35) in our research methodology that specifically concentrate on identifying opportunities to improve their outputs and income.

This focus on smallholder farmers means the quantified benefits from the opportunities outlined in this report are most relevant for developing markets and small-scale farming. But this does not mean that mobile-enabled solutions do not have a role to play in supporting efficiency and sustainability for agriculture in commercial farming and developed markets. Further research on the most beneficial solutions for larger farms and developed markets is required to understand what is most needed.

---

17 International Labour Organization (ILO) (2008)
18 ILO (2007)
19 IFAD Environment and Natural Resource Management Policy (2011) 'Resilient livelihoods through the sustainable use of natural assets'
20 Oxfam (2011) 'Who will feed the world?'
Findings

The 12 opportunities for mobile identified in this study have the potential to increase agricultural income by an estimated US$138 billion across 26 countries in 2020. This represents an 11% increase against the forecast for that year and the significant proportion of this will be gained outside of Europe.

Further benefits could include reducing CO₂-equivalent emissions by nearly 5 mega tonnes (Mt)\(^2\) and reducing freshwater withdrawals for agricultural irrigation by 6% in 2020.

This is based on a total of around 549 million anticipated connections of users to the individual services across the 12 opportunities.

**Key findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase in agricultural income in 2020 (US$ billion)*</th>
<th>Reduction in freshwater withdrawal</th>
<th>Carbon savings in 2020 (Mt CO₂eq)</th>
<th>Connections to services in 2020 (million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total 138</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Total 4.9</td>
<td>Total 549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 138</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Increase in income from improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency was not quantified.

\(^2\) To provide some comparison, this is approximately more than the CO₂ emissions from the Democratic Republic of Congo and Mozambique combined. Source: International Energy Agency (IEA) (2008), from fuel combustion only.
The most significant increases in agricultural income can be achieved in developing countries. Mobile is the most common form of communication in emerging markets and is increasingly available in remote locations. There are over 3.5 billion mobile connections in Africa, the Middle East, Latin America and the Asia-Pacific regions.

Mobile has the most potential to improve the income of smallholder farmers in developing countries in Africa, India and the Middle East, and this analysis focuses on the benefits mobile can bring to these people. While some of the concepts discussed in this study such as micro-insurance and micro-lending are not new, there are new opportunities for farmers to access these services through mobile connections.

Although developing countries could benefit most from increased agricultural income, developed countries are likely to see the greatest potential carbon savings from the opportunities explored here, primarily through supply chain management and optimisation.

This section outlines the findings in each of these categories:

- Improving access to financial services
- Provision of agricultural information
- Improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency
- Enhancing access to markets.

Mobile can help farmers improve agricultural productivity by giving them access to basic financial services, new agricultural techniques and new markets, in turn helping them to secure better prices for crops and a better return on investments. As their income improves with each harvest, they can invest in better seeds, fertiliser and chemicals.

The greatest potential for improving farmers’ income comes from access to financial payments and agricultural information via mobile, together delivering approximately 75% of the total increase in agricultural income from the opportunities studied.

The mobile services analysed in this research could help rural people improve their living standards, tackle illiteracy and access education. Over time, rural families may be able to save enough to help family members to access education or better employment, opening up much wider possibilities.

Improving the lives of farmers could have a particular impact on women. Over half of agricultural workers are women and in some countries as many as 70%. Despite women’s substantial contribution – they produce around half the world’s food – rural women in developing countries often have less access than men to education or training, and fewer rights to land. The solutions outlined in this report have the potential to boost women’s productivity in agriculture, but to achieve this potential, they must be tailored to women’s specific needs and must be marketed in ways that appeal to women.

Mobile telecommunications can also help food growers, buyers, distributors and exporters to trade with each other, help them track the movements of agricultural inputs and food items, and help companies to increase transport efficiency.

By delivering the services discussed in this section, mobile operators and businesses involved in the agricultural supply chain – from suppliers of seeds and fertiliser to food distributors – can increase their revenue by being more efficient, improve their relationship with existing customers and suppliers, showcase innovation, reduce CO2 emissions and fulfil their corporate sustainability objectives.

---

Improving access to financial services

Out of a global population of 6.7 billion people, only an estimated 2.7 billion have access to basic financial services such as a bank account or insurance. People in rural areas have to travel far to visit a bank branch, get funds or make transfers. Having a low and unpredictable income makes it difficult to build up savings, buy insurance or secure a loan. Women are often unable to access or manage household finances as they do not earn or collect wages directly.

Offering money transfers, micro-insurance and micro-loans via mobile phone gives the rural poor an opportunity to access low-cost, safe and secure financial services. Farmers can benefit as they deal with challenges such as unpredictable weather, animal or plant diseases, and unpredictable crop yields. They can use their mobile phones to access insurance services, build up funds to cover emergencies and access wages or subsidies without having to travel long distances. Financial services help farmers improve agricultural productivity because they can invest in the tools, machinery, seeds and other inputs they need to grow more and better crops. These benefits are also likely to extend to the wider community as increased agricultural income helps rural families afford education, healthcare and other services.

Improving farmers’ access to financial services could generate an additional agricultural income of US$51 billion in 2020. This is based on an anticipated 240 million connections to these services.

This section focuses on three opportunities to improve the lives of farmers. The financial services discussed are not new in themselves and some of them are already being accessed via mobile. Here we explore their potential in the context of smallholder farming.

- **Mobile payment system**: a low-cost, secure and quick way to transfer money to other individuals or businesses and accrue savings to invest in better agricultural inputs.
- **Micro-insurance system**: a convenient and affordable way to buy micro-insurance against crop failure when buying seeds and fertiliser, and to receive payouts.
- **Micro-lending platform**: a platform to secure loans from distant investors to buy seeds, tools, machinery or animals to improve output.

Mobile payment system

Mobile payment systems offer people without access to financial services an affordable and secure way to transfer and save money using their mobile phones. By enabling smallholder farmers to save small amounts of money, receive payments promptly in times of need and pay for agricultural goods via their mobile phone, mobile payment systems replace costly traditional transfer services and the need to travel long distances to collect funds. They also provide a secure means for employers to distribute wages to agricultural workers, and for governments and NGOs to ensure agricultural subsidies go directly to farmers.

Basis of analysis: Mobile payment system

The modelling assumed a high rate of adoption of mobile payment systems. Known rates of mobile penetration in each market were used as a good indicator for the proportion of people with access to mobile phones. The frequency of transactions per month was based on a previous M-PESA study.

---
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Farmers simply register with an approved agent to use mobile payment systems. They can then pay in or make withdrawals, receive funds from members of their family living away from home, and pay for goods or services — all for just a small transfer fee. There is no time limit on funds in the system so smallholder farmers can accrue savings, helping them deal with the impact of unpredictable weather and crop yields.

Migration from rural areas to urban centres is common in developing countries as people seek employment to increase their earning potential. In India alone, there are an estimated 100 million internal migrant workers.27 Rural communities can benefit from urban workers sending money home to their families. Mobile payments make these transfers faster, cheaper and more secure.

Receiving timely one-off payments at critical times — to buy extra seed or other inputs for instance — can also improve farmers' agricultural productivity and, in turn, their livelihoods.

A 2008 study by the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor found that urban migrants from two Kenyan communities using the M-PESA system over 14 months had to make fewer home visits.28 Sending money directly via mobile avoids the need for farmers to travel long distances to collect payments, saving time and money, and reducing environmental impacts from transport. This could save an estimated 0.4 Mt of carbon emissions in 2020 from reduced journeys.

Mobile payment systems give farmers a more secure way to pay for agricultural products and provide suppliers with enhanced reliability and traceability of payments. The service can also enable wages and subsidies to be transferred confidently and securely without the need for employers and government agencies to deal in cash. A key benefit to the recipient is that they get the full intended value without others making deductions.

Empowering women with the ability to perform key financial transactions via mobile could also enable more efficient allocation of rural household spending.

In practice: Vodafone M-PESA brings mobile payment services to Kenya

Vodafone’s mobile money transfer service, M-PESA, is helping to boost agricultural productivity among rural populations. The M-PESA service was first deployed in Kenya in 2007 — through Vodafone’s affiliate, Safaricom — where it has rapidly taken off.

More than 10 million Kenyans now use M-PESA and the service is bringing significant benefits to users in rural communities. A study by the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor found that the income of rural people in certain locations of Kenya has increased by up to 30% using M-PESA.28

Using the service to send and receive money quickly is supporting improved agricultural output. One farmer from the community of Kitui, 200 kilometres east of Nairobi, said: “If I call, my son will send money immediately to purchase seed in case I finish seeds and am not through with planting.”

Since its launch in Kenya, M-PESA has been rolled out to a further six emerging markets — Afghanistan, Fiji, India, Qatar, South Africa, Tanzania — enabling people without access to transfer services to send and receive money, top up airtime and make bill payments.

In practice: Grundfos delivers community water with Vodafone M-PESA

Vodafone’s M-PESA mobile money transfer service is enabling farmers in the rural Kenyan community of Katitika to access secure water supplies through an innovative partnership between water pump manufacturer Grundfos29 and Vodafone affiliate, Safaricom.

Grundfos LIFELINK29 is piloting 11 automatic water systems in remote areas where people lack access to safe water. To fund the systems, users pre-pay for water using M-PESA, building up ‘water credits’ on a special key fob that they use to access a water station.

Around 250 people in Katitika are using the system and their payments are used to maintain the community-owned pump. Safe and reliable access to water helps farmers cultivate their crops, deliver more fresh produce to market and improve their overall productivity.30

27 Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (September 2008), Deshingkar, Khandelwal and Farrington, ‘Support for migrant workers: The missing link in India’s development’ Natural Resource Perspectives 117
28 CGAP ‘Poor People Using Mobile Financial Services: Observations on Customer Usage and Impact from M-PESA’
29 Grundfos Pumps Limited www.grundfos.co.uk
30 IRIS Center, University of Maryland (June 2010) ‘Community-Level Economic Effects of M-PESA in Kenya: Initial Findings’
The benefits of mobile transfers and savings outlined here could generate US$51 billion additional income for smallholder farmers in 2020 across the 26 countries considered in this study. This is based on an anticipated 182 million people using the service.

Potential barriers and recommendations:
Lack of awareness of mobile payment systems among rural poor:
- Mobile service providers, NGOs and governments can work together to promote visibility of mobile payment systems in rural areas.

Financial regulations:
- Governments can help by looking at where regulation is creating a barrier to access to financial services at the bottom of the pyramid, and work with financial service and mobile service providers to enable innovative solutions to be deployed. Mobile operators must ensure that regulators are fully briefed on the market and how mobile payments work to enable them to make decisions on providing licences.

Micro-insurance system
Mobile micro-insurance systems can protect farmers against losses when bad weather harms their harvest, encouraging them to buy higher-quality seeds and invest in fertiliser and other inputs. This can improve agricultural productivity and boost farmers’ livelihoods as well as enabling suppliers to expand their market among smallholder farmers.

Farmers tend to use cheaper, low-quality seeds because the risk of losing the harvest is high. In Kenya, currently only half of farmers use improved seeds and other agricultural inputs.31

Micro-insurance can provide the confidence to invest. When buying agricultural inputs such as seeds and fertiliser, a farmer can add insurance for a small premium. Insurance companies remotely monitor the weather using sensors on mobile phone masts or satellite information. When there is extremely bad weather, payments are made automatically, via mobile payment systems based on the local weather data, to farmers for the agricultural inputs they had used. If an area has suffered too little or too much rain farmers receive compensation based on the estimated damage to their crops.

Protection against possible losses can encourage farmers to invest in higher-quality products such as more resilient seed varieties.

Delivering micro-insurance via mobile avoids challenges with conventional channels that can make insurance expensive. Insuring farmers in remote areas is difficult and costly because of the need to visit farms to assess the validity of claims and the standard of farm practices. This pushes up premiums, making it less attractive for farmers. Remote monitoring of weather avoids the need for insurers to make farm visits. With mobile micro-insurance systems, farmers also benefit from quick, secure payouts using money transfer services.

We estimate that there could be 2 million farmers using this service in 2020.

Insuring agricultural inputs as outlined here is just one example of how micro-insurance could help farmers. Micro-insurance could also be used more widely to insure livestock or crops but this may be more difficult to assess remotely than insurance based solely on weather data, which has been shown to be commercially viable and is to date the most successful form of micro-insurance delivered via mobile.

Basis of analysis:
Micro-insurance system
The modelling was completed for land under cereal production and assumed that only crops susceptible to weather events were insured. The modelling assumed a medium rate of adoption of the system.

In practice: Safaricom and Syngenta deliver mobile micro-insurance using Vodafone M-PESA in Kenya32

Kilimo Salama – a Swahili phrase meaning ‘safe farming’ – is a mobile micro-insurance scheme protecting more than 10,000 smallholder farmers in Kenya against extreme weather conditions.

The scheme is offered through a partnership between Vodafone affiliate, Safaricom, Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture and UAP Insurance. Farmers pay a 5% premium to insure the seeds, fertiliser and chemicals when they buy them, and a further 5% premium is covered by Mea Fertilizers Ltd and Syngenta East Africa Limited.

Weather stations automatically send data on rainfall to the insurance company, triggering payouts via M-PESA. Vodafone’s mobile money transfer service, when too little or much rainfall is recorded. During a drought in 2009, a 15% decline in crop yields was predicted using data from weather stations and affected farmers received a payout equivalent to 15% of the insured value of their products. The largest payout was for 2,500 Kenyan Shillings (about US$30); equivalent to about 12 kilograms of maize seed – enough to plant one acre.

31 Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture
32 www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2010-09/bc-rst092010.php
Potential barriers and recommendations:

Lack of trust in insurance schemes:
- Insurance companies and mobile service providers could identify a group of smallholder farmers to act as ambassadors for the service, and demonstrate the benefits of insurance.

Lack of sufficient mobile infrastructure to accurately monitor weather events:
- Governments can support mobile service providers and encourage companies to provide mobile infrastructure in remote areas.

Micro-lending platform

Micro-lending platforms could connect smallholders in developing countries with individuals elsewhere willing to provide finance to help the farmers to buy much-needed agricultural inputs. Mobile access to micro-lending platforms provides a free and secure way for rural and isolated borrowers to be matched to potential investors and gives existing microfinance providers access to those who need loans the most. Mobile payment records can be used as proof of credit history.

Boosting agricultural productivity in developing countries requires investment in tools, machinery, seeds and fertiliser. Smallholders often lack the necessary savings or access to capital. Their small, unreliable incomes make it difficult to get loans, despite studies indicating that this group tends to have a low-risk profile. Borrowers on low incomes make repayments higher than those of conventional borrowers and the repayment rate can be as high as 97% in some regions.33

Farm yields suffer from the lack of funds. In hard times farmers may even have to harvest their crops prematurely to provide food or cash, leading to a lower crop yield and poor-quality produce that is difficult to sell.

A mobile micro-lending platform could give farmers the chance to advertise their capital needs to a global audience online. Farmers could use their mobiles to communicate the amount they need, the intended use of the money and the expected return on investment. Investors could browse profiles of borrowers, selecting a value and risk profile that suits them. Once a loan is agreed the funds could be transferred directly to the farmer via a mobile payment system.

Such a platform could help to secure a flow of small investments to farmers and boost agricultural productivity. This would benefit farmers by helping them to buy better agriculture products, such as more resilient seeds, plant larger areas and avoid having to harvest crops too early.

Our analysis estimates that a total of 56 million farmers could be connected to this service in 2020 but we were not able to complete further quantification of benefits from this opportunity due to lack of appropriate data.

Potential barriers and recommendations:

Investors’ perception of risk:
- Micro-finance providers can advertise their borrowers’ repayment rate and screen individual loan requests for factors likely to lead to default on loans.

Lack of clarity over who is responsible for arbitration in the case of a dispute:
- Mobile lending platforms would need to have clear rules on dealing with disputes among users. Governments have a role in regulating emerging technology to protect users.

Basis of analysis:

Micro-lending platform

The modelling was completed for smallholdings, defined as farms of two hectares or less and assumed a medium rate of adoption of mobile micro-lending platforms. Known rates of mobile penetration in the 26 local markets were used as an indicator of the proportion of people with access to mobile.

In practice: Kiva connects farmers with investors around the world34

Valentine Rutto is a single mother of three who rears cattle and grows maize on her farm in Sarura, Kenya. She secured a loan of US$650 to buy four sheep and prepare five acres of land for maize planting.

She secured her loan through Kiva, a website that supports existing microfinance institutions in developing countries by connecting investors with entrepreneurs that need loans. Investors can browse the profiles of borrowers online and choose to support people such as smallholder farmers. Their investment helps farmers to protect themselves against unpredictable weather and crop yields, and plant or animal diseases.

Valentine successfully repaid 100% of her loan within 11 months. Kiva has provided US$212 million in loans and the average repayment rate is 98.71%.

33 www.ruralpovertyportal.org
34 www.kiva.org/lend/192713
Provision of agricultural information

Potential benefits in 2020:

- Additional incremental income for farmers: US$52 billion
- Water savings: 6% reduction in freshwater withdrawals
- Carbon savings: 1.9 Mt
- Anticipated connections to mobile information services: 174 million.

Farmers in developing countries struggle to get information about agricultural best practices and new developments because poor transport and communications infrastructure in isolated rural areas inhibit access to information sources. Using mobile can bridge this information gap. Research conducted by Vodafone in 2009 indicated that mobile services, including mobile-enabled information services, were already used in agriculture and starting to improve farmers’ knowledge and deliver productivity improvements.35

Mobile phones make it possible to call a helpline or receive SMS alert messages, giving farmers tips and advice to improve practices and productivity. Text and voice-based services include weather forecasts, advice on tackling pests or diseases, agricultural techniques, optimum times to plant crops, available subsidies, local fairs and crop prices. Voice-based services also overcome the difficulties faced by those unable to read or write, greatly improving their knowledge of good farming practices.

Using mobiles to increase access to expert agricultural information has the advantage of providing real-time support, and could be a more cost-effective way of distributing updates as well as complementing or reinforcing other sources of information that help farmers.

Introducing new farming practices, securing better prices for crops and increasing the productivity of farms improves communities’ standard of living. It also helps to save farmers time, making it possible to supplement their income with other employment.

A mobile helpline can also help support services. By analysing the calls, agricultural specialists and research organisations can build up a more accurate picture of the challenges rural people face and the trends in agriculture.

This section focuses on two opportunities:

- **Mobile information platform:** farmers receive text alerts direct to their mobile phone, tailored to their location and the crops that they grow
- **Farmer helpline:** farmers use their mobile phone to call a helpline staffed by agricultural experts, giving them immediate advice.

Mobile information services could generate an additional US$52 billion in agricultural income in 2020. This is based on an anticipated 174 million farmers being connected to information platforms. Providing weather forecasts that help farmers to manage water use for crop irrigation could save 6% of freshwater withdrawals for agriculture in 2020, with potentially important savings in water-stressed India and Egypt. Farmers using better techniques, machinery and products, and using agricultural inputs more efficiently could lead to 1.9 Mt of carbon savings in 2020.

---

Mobile information platform

Through mobile information platforms, farmers receive texts with news and information that help to improve the productivity of their land and increase their incomes. Governments and agricultural support organisations can use the platforms to provide information about available subsidies and programmes.

There are a number of services providing agricultural information via mobile already available to farmers. One model is for users to pay a monthly fee to subscribe to the information service through their existing mobile tariff. Other models include applying a standalone charge. Farmers register their location and the type of crops they grow so they can receive tailored text alerts specific to their needs. The service providers work with partners such as commodity traders and government meteorological departments to get the most up-to-date and relevant information.

Updates on local weather patterns help farmers plan planting, irrigation and harvesting, while information on market prices and consumer trends helps them choose which crops to plant and to get the best price for their produce. Technical advice can improve farming practices to promote more sustainable agriculture and better hygiene and processing techniques to reduce food wastage. The information service could also cover government regulations and subsidies. Better-informed farmers not only achieve higher productivity but may also save time, which they can use to supplement their income with other employment.

As well as improving yields, receiving weather forecasts can help farmers save water because if they know it is likely to rain, they can use less fresh water for crop irrigation. In 2020, better information for farmers could reduce freshwater withdrawals by 6% across the 26 countries included in the scope of this study. The greatest potential for water savings is in countries with expected high uptake of mobile information platforms and high freshwater usage for agricultural purposes (relative to total freshwater availability). The Democratic Republic of Congo, Egypt, Mozambique, India and Lesotho all have the potential to achieve more than 10% savings in total freshwater withdrawals through provision of weather-related information and spread of agricultural best practices through mobile information platforms.

Providing this information via mobile could provide additional agricultural income of US$34 billion in 2020, based on an anticipated 116 million farmers using the service. An estimated 1.3 Mt of CO₂e could be saved by helping farmers to use better techniques, machinery and products, and use agricultural inputs more efficiently.

Basis of analysis:
Mobile information platform

The modelling was completed for smallholder farms of two hectares or less and assumed a high rate of adoption of mobile information platforms. The analysis of potential water savings was undertaken for countries that are likely to experience water stress and assumed a fixed percentage saving on agricultural water use.

In practice: Vodafone provides mobile information service for farmers in Turkey

Vodafone is helping 350,000 farmers in Turkey increase the productivity of their farms by providing agricultural information via SMS text messages to subscribers. The ‘Vodafone Farmers’ Club’ service provides customised alerts including information on the weather, crop diseases and infection risks, agricultural rules and regulations, marketplace prices for products, agricultural donations and free invitations to fairs.

Subscribers to the service also benefit from discounted handsets, free health checks and accident insurance, and discounts on farm machinery. Vodafone Turkey provides the service in partnership with Tarimsalpazarlama.com, which also offers training sessions for subscribers on sustainable agriculture and water awareness. Partnerships with trusted parties such as the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs help them gather accurate information.
Potential barriers and recommendations:

Obtaining sufficient high-quality, relevant and local information from a large number of agents:
- Information service providers need to recruit trusted partners to provide high-quality content and find ways to make the advice as local and relevant as possible. Companies that are sourcing information will need to build their capacity by scaling up existing business models.

Farmer helpline

Farmers call a helpline and speak to agricultural experts who can provide quick and accurate answers to agricultural queries. The experts and researchers can use information on the issues raised to improve their understanding of agricultural trends and the challenges facing farmers.

Using their mobile phone, farmers call a helpline staffed by agricultural experts to ask about problems they are facing, such as the appearance of new pests or the use of chemicals. They could also send photos via their mobile to get more specific advice, particularly on identification and treatment of pests and disease. Experts answer their queries immediately if possible, or call back when they have found an answer.

Farmers benefit from cheap and immediate access to advice which is more reliable than word-of-mouth and local community knowledge that typically informs local practices. This service provides expert sources of information to illiterate people – more than half of the adult population in less developed countries, many of them women – who cannot benefit from printed material. Access to this advice improves agricultural productivity as farmers can grow crops more efficiently.

Data on subjects raised in calls and sources of calls can provide agricultural specialists and research organisations with direct exposure to problems facing smallholder farmers. This data can help improve understanding of the challenges farmers face, help specialists to compile trends for different regions and develop effective responses.

Our analysis estimates that 58 million farmers could be using helpline services in 2020, generating an additional US$18 billion in agricultural income. With farmers using better techniques, machinery and products, and using fewer agricultural inputs, 0.6 Mt of carbon could be saved in 2020.

Basis of analysis: Farmer helpline

The modelling was completed for smallholder farms, defined as farms of two hectares or less, and assumed a medium rate of adoption of farmer helpline services. The analysis also assumed that the rate of calls to the helpline per month would be fixed over time and different locations.
Potential barriers and recommendations:

**Obtaining locally relevant agricultural information:**

- Partnerships are needed between helplines and agents including agricultural extension workers with local knowledge.

**Managing operating costs of staffing helplines with agricultural experts:**

- Governments, NGOs and private enterprises such as agricultural input distributors and call centres need to work together to find the most cost-effective solutions.

**Providing required communications infrastructure:**

- Partnerships between mobile service providers and call centres are needed to provide the infrastructure.
Improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency

Potential benefits in 2020:
• Carbon savings: 2.1 Mt
• Anticipated connections to supply chain services: 2 million.

Supply chains for agricultural inputs and produce are complex and fragmented, with large networks of small-scale farms, retailers, aggregators, distributors and exporters. This complexity, combined with inadequate communications, leads to gaps in information and inefficiencies. Mobile technology could help to overcome these challenges by enabling information sharing and collection of data from remote and disparate locations. The information collected can be aggregated to provide real-time updates and measure indicators that could support businesses’ decision-making processes and improve efficiency.

Many types of information can be gathered via mobile. This study focuses on four opportunities where mobile technology can be used to manage the food supply chain more efficiently, where there seems greatest potential to reduce carbon emissions and cut food waste:

• **Smart logistics**: using mobile devices to collect data on the location, speed and route of food distribution trucks, helping distributors improve fleet management
• **Traceability and tracking system**: using mobile to record movements of items through the agricultural supply chain, from farms to shops
• **Mobile management of supplier networks**: agricultural field agents visiting farms can use mobile phones to record data on farm conditions and expected yields
• **Mobile management of distribution networks**: retailers can use mobile phones to keep records of sales of agricultural inputs like seeds, fertiliser and chemicals.

These opportunities have the potential to save 2.1 Mt of carbon, based on an anticipated 2 million connections to supply chain services. Developed countries could achieve the greatest carbon savings.

Smart logistics

Smart logistics uses mobile technology to help distribution companies manage their fleets more efficiently – reducing costs for farmers and distributors, cutting fuel use and related carbon emissions and potentially preventing food losses.

Devices in trucks communicate with a central hub via machine-to-machine (M2M) connections, providing information on truck movements. Logistics companies supporting input providers, agro-dealers or processors can combine this with information about delivery schedules, loads, trips planned, routes and number of pick-ups to minimise truck movements.

Fleet managers can schedule traffic-free routes and collections of produce to cut down on the total number of trips needed and the time spent on journeys.

With a more efficient distribution network, fresh food spends less time in transit and is less likely to be spoiled by hot weather. Lowering rates of food loss could potentially improve the security of food supplies. Farmers benefit by getting more of their produce to market or collection point in good condition, and could benefit from lower distribution costs if buyers operate better collection routes based on produce availability.
Fewer trucks on the road and fewer trips mean less fuel is used. This keeps costs down and our analysis estimates it could save 2.0 Mt of carbon in 2020. This is based on an anticipated 0.2 million connections.

**Potential barriers and recommendations:**

The quality of road infrastructure in developing countries with single roads connecting distribution points in many cases limits the number of potential route alternatives:

- Public–private partnerships can help to attract further investment in vital transport infrastructure.

**Traceability and tracking system**

Mobile technology can be used to track individual food products through the supply chain from grower to retailer. Detailed tracking improves supply chain efficiency and helps smallholder farmers, food distributors and retailers provide the traceability that is increasingly demanded by consumers. It can also help reduce food spoilage.

Mobiles can be used to log the location, quality and quantity of food items at key points in the supply chain. Agents buying products at a farm and workers at distribution centres can use mobile camera phones to scan product barcodes providing details of the items. This information is sent to a central system to give retailers, exporters and distributors a detailed view of product movements.

**Basis of analysis:**

Traceability and tracking system

The modelling was completed for farms of two hectares or less and assumed a high rate of adoption of mobile traceability and tracking technology. It assumed that there would be one mobile per agent.
Tracking food items in this way provides the information necessary for quality standards and statutory requirements for food handling and safety. Smallholder farmers, distributors and exporters benefit by proving the origin, quality and safety of their produce. By building capacity, this could help open access to new markets by meeting the requirements of the European Union, for example. Farmers can use the data to comply with certification standards such as Fairtrade and organic, and potentially charge higher prices for produce that complies with such standards. Tracking data from mobiles can also make it easier to implement new traceability standards as they emerge.

Improved traceability helps to meet consumer demand for information about the origin of food items. Research has found that more than half of consumers prefer to purchase a product with information about where it has come from.37

Tracking food items can improve supply chain efficiency and responses to food safety issues. Better understanding of the movement of food items helps distributors to reduce food spoilage by avoiding bottlenecks where food is held too long and identifying where it is handled incorrectly. Fewer incidences of food being spoiled and wasted could help to improve overall food security. Traceability can also help to identify and tackle chemical, hygiene or animal disease safety issues more quickly.

In 2020, 0.8 million farmers could be connected to the service.

Potential barriers and recommendations:

Engaging traders and certification agencies in traceability: Purchasing companies need to develop and implement a system for farmers to increase traceability via traders and certification agencies.

Mobile management of supplier networks

Food buyers and exporters can use mobile phones to manage their networks of small-scale growers and help field agents collect information. Support organisations and governments could benefit from electronic records about conditions for farmers in developing countries.

Basis of analysis:
Mobile management of supplier networks

The modelling was completed for farms of two hectares or less and assumed a high rate of adoption of mobile management of supplier networks, a fixed number of field agents per smallholder and a fixed number of smallholders per exporter.

37 www.qtrace.com
Managing large numbers of small farms and growers requires networks of field agents, auditors and technical staff to gather a wide range of information. They carry out farm audits, check the quality and quantity of harvests, and report problems. Keeping detailed paper records for this information is inefficient, can be erratic and can lead to delayed decision making.

Equipping field agents with mobile phones improves the supplier management process, providing a reliable, quick and cheap way of creating electronic records in a central database. Field agents visiting farms can use their mobile phones to input data on farmers’ locations, crops and expected yield. Mobile applications can provide prompts for information required for tasks such as technical audits. More efficient processes mean staff can visit more farms in less time, making fewer trips overall and reducing carbon emissions as well as spreading best-practice information more effectively.

Agents visiting farms could collect information about agricultural conditions, including diseases and pests, and social issues such as starvation and civil unrest. This would provide NGOs, governments and research organisations with valuable data on the challenges facing smallholder farmers and help to coordinate their response. If agents use mobile to collect better data, they could help individual farmers within their network of growers understand their performance, and suggest new techniques and examples of best practice from other farms.

Farmers could also use mobiles to send information about their likely harvest date and other key indicators to food buyers and other organisations. Buyers and distributors could use this information to collect fresh food items more promptly and get them to market as soon as possible, reducing food waste and increasing agricultural incomes.

Managing grower networks could save 0.1 Mt of carbon in 2020, with an anticipated 0.6 million connections to mobile supplier management services.

**Potential barriers and recommendations:**

**Lack of agriculture extension services network in some countries:** partnerships with NGOs and other stakeholders could facilitate the implementation of extension services.

---

**Mobile management of supplier networks: how it works**

- **Supplier network management hub**
- **Field agent**
- **Farmer**
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Supplier network data is uploaded to central hub for collation and processing

Field agent visits allocated farms, and records audit information using mobile phone

---

Mobile management of distribution networks

Distributors of agricultural inputs such as seeds, fertiliser and crop protection products could use mobile to gather sales and stock data, improving availability for farmers and increasing sales.

It can be difficult for distributors of seeds, fertiliser and crop protection products to monitor and manage their wide network of retailers. Communications and transport difficulties lead to information gaps. Mobile could help bridge the gaps and improve understanding of sales and customer needs. Retailers could record sales using a mobile camera phone to scan the barcode, sending this data straight to a central system for analysis.

Building up a digital record of sales across a region could help distributors avoid supply gaps. Improved understanding of supply and demand could also help identify new market opportunities and tailor ranges to local needs. Farmers could benefit through improved availability, lower travel costs to access agricultural inputs and more consistent prices.

Our analysis estimates there could be a total of 0.4 million connections to services for managing sales of agricultural inputs in 2020.

Potential barriers and recommendations:
Motivating agents and retailers to collect and supply data:
+ provide adequate incentives (such as free airtime), training and technical support to users.

In practice: Using mobile to manage stocks in Tanzania

Staff in health clinics in Tanzania are using mobile phones to record and manage stocks of anti-malarial drugs. The technology used to record the data could be transferred to the agricultural sector and used by retailers to keep track of sales of seeds and fertiliser.

The ‘SMS for Life’ service was developed by Vodafone, pharmaceutical company Novartis and the Roll Back Malaria Partnership. At any one time, up to half of the 5,000 dispensing locations in Tanzania can lack vital supplies of anti-malarial medication. The service sends SMS messages to prompt staff in hospitals, clinics and dispensaries to check medication stock levels and they respond with a free message to a central system. Healthcare authorities use this data to make sure facilities are stocked with the drugs they need. In a pilot of the service, the number of health facilities with stock-outs was reduced by over 75% in one district alone.

The technology could be adapted to help distributors of agricultural inputs monitor shops’ seed and fertiliser stocks. Text messages could be sent to remote retailers, prompting them to send a message back with information about their sales.

Basis of analysis: Mobile management of distribution networks

The modelling was completed for farms of two hectares or less, and assumed a medium rate of adoption of mobile management of distribution networks and one mobile device per agent.

Enhancing access to markets

Potential benefits in 2020:

- Additional incremental income for farmers: US$35 billion
- Carbon savings: 0.5 Mt
- Anticipated connections to mobile trading platforms: 133 million.

Mobile could help farmers in developing countries secure better prices for their crops and improve competition in the supply chain through increased tendering.

Isolated smallholder farmers often have to sell their harvest at the farm gate with little power to negotiate on price. Using mobile, farmers could access a marketplace of potential buyers online and achieve better prices for their crops. As farmers improve the return on their investment, they can afford more seeds and fertiliser and plant larger areas, boosting their families’ incomes.

Similarly, a tendering platform could increase competition throughout the supply chain. Farmers, food aggregators, distributors, exporters and wholesalers could post and respond to tenders for contracts via mobile.

Mobile bartering platforms could help members of rural communities exchange goods, services and skills with each other. Agricultural labourers could find work on farms and growers could exchange surplus food after harvests.

The three opportunities for promoting agricultural trade are:

- **The agricultural trading platform**: an online marketplace for farmers to trade directly with buyers via their mobile phone
- **The agricultural tendering platform**: a mobile platform for agricultural customers and suppliers to post and respond to tenders for work and agree contracts
- **The agricultural bartering platform**: a mobile platform where community members can exchange goods and services with each other.

Linking customers and suppliers across the agricultural supply chain to trade, tender or barter with each other could provide farmers with an additional US$35 billion in income in 2020. This is based on an anticipated 133 million connections to mobile trading platforms.

The agricultural trading platform

Linking smallholder farmers directly with potential buyers through a mobile trading platform could help them to secure the best price for their produce, as well as promoting investment in agriculture and reducing food losses. Mobile trading platforms could help dealers locate new sources of food when supplies are limited and could help companies fulfil their commitment to sourcing from smaller and more diverse businesses.

Smallholders in developing countries frequently get poor prices for their crops because they have limited access to markets, and inadequate storage facilities mean they cannot keep produce and wait for prices to rise. This results in low return on investment and inhibits adoption of sustainable agricultural production practices. Better knowledge of the market would encourage farmers to plant crops with better return on their investment, while more efficient markets could reduce food waste. Regional exchanges could combine to form country-wide trading networks, raising the profile of developing countries’ agricultural output.
Farmers in developing countries could use their mobile phones to access online commodity exchanges and trading platforms for agricultural products. Farmers could achieve better immediate – or ‘spot’ – prices than dealing with traders buying at the farm gate. With greater sophistication, farmers could buy futures or options at the start of each growing season, providing certainty on how much they will get for their crops. At the end of the season they can negotiate better prices for any surplus produce.

The platform could be combined with mobile payment systems to confirm the transaction and could enable farmers to collect payments directly via mobile.

Boosting farmer income encourages farmers to invest more each season in better quality seeds and fertiliser, and to plant larger areas for harvest. This could increase agricultural income by US$16 billion in 2020, based on an anticipated 56 million users accessing trading platforms via mobile. Reducing the number of trips to sell produce could lead to 0.2 Mt of carbon being saved.

**Potential barriers and recommendations:**

**Limited access to transport:**
- Farmers either need access to transportation for their produce or need to trade with buyers who can manage logistics. The trading platform should enable users to see the logistics services that are available to each party.

**Challenge of educating farmers about trading platforms:**
- Trading platform providers and NGOs can work together to promote the benefits of mobile trading to farmers and develop a network of ambassadors in communities that have successfully used the service.

**Lack of quality warehouse storage for agricultural produce:**
- Governments and NGOs could help to improve infrastructure for storage. Mobile technology could enable existing warehouses to be used more efficiently by monitoring the produce stored and space available to reduce food waste and track food stocks.

**The agricultural tendering platform**

Online platforms for submitting and bidding on tenders for food distribution, processing and exporting could make the agricultural supply chain more competitive and efficient.

There are many distribution, processing and export agents in developing countries and poor communications make it difficult to achieve competitive business contracts and tenders. Using mobile phones to access online tendering platforms could help service users reach a wider supplier base and promote competition. For example, a food aggregator could advertise a tender to a processing facility. Distribution companies could browse tenders and submit their offers.

Providing mobile tendering platforms could provide an additional US$3 billion in agricultural income in 2020 with an anticipated 22 million farmers using mobile tendering platforms. More organised distribution networks could avoid 0.1 Mt of carbon emissions.

**Potential barriers and recommendations:**

**Lack of clarity over who is responsible for arbitration when disputes arise:**
- Tendering platform providers would need to give clear instructions on their website for dealing with disputes.
The agricultural bartering platform

Mobile could help agricultural workers in rural communities exchange goods and services and improve communities’ livelihoods.

For rural people with little or no disposable income, exchanging goods, services and skills with community members is an important part of their livelihoods. Using mobile, people could access an online bartering platform via their mobile phone, significantly extending the network of people to barter with. Users could register their location and the goods, services or skills they are offering, along with details of what they need in return. SMS adverts could be sent to subscribed users, prompting them to respond.

Transient agricultural workers could also use the platform to advertise their skills and find work. Farm managers and owners could find workers at short notice, for instance when they need to harvest crops early to stop them being ruined by bad weather. Farmers could barter their surplus food items after a harvest so that food reaches community members in need, rather than spoiling in poor storage.

Using mobile phones for bartering could help those most in need within agricultural communities to access essential goods and services, and pass important skills from one community member to another. Mobile bartering could increase agricultural income by US$16 billion in 2020, with an anticipated 55 million farmers using the service. Connecting local people to trade goods or services could reduce the number of journeys they have to make, avoiding 0.2 Mt of carbon emissions.

Potential barriers and recommendations:

Lack of clarity over who is responsible for arbitration in the case of a dispute: Mobile bartering service providers would need to have clear rules on dealing with disputes among users. Governments have a role in regulating emerging technology to protect users.

In practice: Vodafone promotes community bartering via mobile in Egypt

A mobile bartering platform developed by Vodafone Egypt is helping community members exchange goods and services through online adverts. The platform could be used by agricultural workers in rural communities.

Forsa, the Arabic word for opportunity, is a mobile bartering platform that gives low-income workers such as craftsmen and domestic maids a low-cost way to advertise their skills via their mobile phone. Forsa helps to connect local people with each other to exchange goods and services, reducing the distances they have to travel to make exchanges.

So far 3,000 adverts have been posted through Forsa, helping people to make exchanges with items like food crops, petrol, or motorbike parts. Trained ‘ambassadors’ promote the service, earning a small commission for showing new users how to post adverts and find people to exchange with.
Recommendations

Mobile network operators have a leading role to play in the successful realisation of the benefits outlined in this study, but they will need the collective support of key stakeholders across the agricultural supply chain. NGOs, private enterprises and governments must also contribute their knowledge and expertise to bring critical elements together.

The systems required to deliver the opportunities explored in this report are complex and fragmented. In addition to the technology itself, there are a number of factors which could help to overcome these complexities and support the implementation and adoption of the services highlighted in this report. These include: building local relationships and understanding local needs, testing options for delivery of services through pilot projects and partnerships, and a favourable regulatory environment.

Building local relationships will be crucial in helping smallholder farmers to access and benefit from mobile services. Engaging local stakeholders will help to ensure that both the content provided and the services used to deliver this content are tailored to their markets. Some services will need to be adapted for specific regions and, in some cases, specific crops to maximise the benefits.

Pilot projects are important for testing new products and services with target customers in local markets, helping mobile operators improve knowledge and understanding, as well as gaining credibility with diverse stakeholders. Pilot projects help to identify and solve problems, building the business case for the opportunities presented in this study. They also provide an opportunity for partners to test out options for the delivery of these services with a view to creating longer term partnerships.

The successful delivery of these opportunities will also require a supportive regulatory environment. Governments have a vested interest in providing such support, with potential tax revenues from successful initiatives and the social and economic benefits generated which could, for example, alleviate pressure on support systems.

Each key stakeholder group has a role to play in putting these factors in place to maximise the chances of successful implementation:

Non-governmental organisations: NGOs already have the relevant local knowledge and relationships in place. Through strategic partnerships with mobile network operators and governments, they can use this to extend the impacts of their efforts well beyond their existing sphere of influence. Mobile operators will look to them for guidance and local understanding to help ensure that the services
developed meet the needs of the end user, and that they are accessible to those who need them the most, such as women farmers and farmers’ collectives and associations. NGOs are critical to the empowerment of smallholders and are likely to play an important role in implementing the services and related training. NGOs themselves could also benefit from using mobile management of supplier networks solutions, for example, to increase the visibility of field agents and collect better data on the social and economic issues in rural communities.

Private sector: As key target customers for these services, companies in the agricultural supply chain can help to: prioritise the most beneficial services, identify further customisation for their supply chain and distribution needs, test the commercial, social and environmental value they bring through partnerships, and support the scalable roll-out of services. For example, food manufacturers could benefit from the supply chain management and optimisation tools identified in this report to increase efficiency and support their sustainability objectives in building capacity among smallholder farmers in the value chain. By partnering with mobile network operators to develop these services, companies could increase supply chain reliability and quality control. Similarly, financial service providers could partner with mobile network operators to develop cost-effective mobile payment, micro-insurance and micro-lending solutions.

Governments: Governments will need to work with and understand the role of banks, commodity exchanges, mobile network operators, private enterprise and NGOs when designing favourable policies. Governments can also help ensure the integrity of content and support the development of locally relevant content through their agriculture departments which, in many cases, have already collected much of the information needed. There are existing cases of government agricultural departments successfully partnering with mobile operators to provide this content. Governments could also derive great benefit from these mobile services. Information platforms and management of supplier networks via mobile could help civil servants gather agricultural field data. Mobile payment solutions could help governments distribute agricultural subsidies securely to the intended recipient, and data collection on farmers’ yield and transactions could help governments ensure there is sufficient storage for harvested crops.

Mobile network operators: As the technology ‘owner’ or service provider, mobile network operators are well-positioned to act as a catalyst for action and drive these initiatives. Operators have a number of assets and capabilities that reinforce this position:

- **Technology** – the services addressed in the report will require the development of mobile platforms which will draw on the technological expertise of mobile operators.
- **Long-term commitment** – in many parts of the world, there has already been rapid progress in providing mobile communications to underserved communities, often leap-frogging other technologies. For example, access to a computer is no longer a prerequisite for access to the internet thanks to the affordability and ease of access through mobile. Through investment in licences and infrastructure, mobile network operators are demonstrating a long-term commitment in markets to build coverage, products and services which will deliver economic and social benefits.
- **Established distribution channels** – mobile network operators already have established distribution channels which can be used to distribute marketing and training information on these services. For example, M-PESA agents could advertise the benefits of mobile services for agriculture to their existing customers.
- **Trusted relationships** – the trusted relationship that mobile operators have with their customers could facilitate implementation. Customers already engage with mobile operators on a daily basis, through distribution agents, the use of mobile money transfer services and other services.

In conclusion, there is a clear need for close cooperation between mobile network operators, governments, NGOs and the private sector to deliver the products, services and potential benefits outlined in this report. The issues and interests of these stakeholders are converging and it is in their long-term interests to make these initiatives a success. By working together, they can use mobile technology to unlock enormous benefits for the agricultural sector, particularly in the developing world.
Appendices

Appendix 1: Research methodology

Opportunities for addressing key sustainability challenges faced by the food and agricultural sector were identified through desk-based research and consultation with representatives from across the sector.

All opportunities identified were then prioritised using a number of relevant assessment criteria (see figure 1) to generate a shortlist representing the most attractive opportunities. The 12 shortlisted opportunities span four main categories (see figure 2).

See pages 11 to 12 for further information about the methodology.

Figure 1: Assessment criteria for shortlisting of opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Market potential</td>
<td>What is the market potential?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation cost</td>
<td>What are the costs associated with engaging and implementing the opportunity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Agricultural income</td>
<td>What is the potential to increase agricultural income (e.g., productivity, price, input cost)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income diversification</td>
<td>What is the potential to drive/develop alternative sources of income and/or improve food security?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender equality</td>
<td>What is the potential to promote gender equality and empower women?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>What is the potential reduction in carbon emissions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>What is the potential reduction in water consumption?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>What is the potential reduction in waste production?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>What is the potential reduction in chemical inputs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bio-diversity</td>
<td>What are the potential benefits for bio-diversity?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 2: Shortlisted opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Improving access to financial services</strong></th>
<th><strong>Mobile payment system</strong></th>
<th>Method of sending payments via cellular networks for registered users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Micro-insurance system</strong></td>
<td>Automatic funding of additional insurance premiums at the time of purchase of input goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Micro-lending platform</strong></td>
<td>Provided by P2P transfers and/or by advertising to external investors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision of agricultural information</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mobile information platform</strong></td>
<td>A choice of receiving a set number of push messages per week, alerts only, or information on demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Farmer helpline</strong></td>
<td>Voice-based information-on-demand service, staffed by agricultural experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improving data visibility for supply chain efficiency</strong></td>
<td><strong>Smart logistics</strong></td>
<td>Mobile-enabled tracking devices used to communicate vehicle movements to fleet management systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Traceability/tracking system</strong></td>
<td>Recording of movements of products along the food chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mobile management of supplier networks</strong></td>
<td>Mobile technology for produce buyers to manage their grower networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mobile management of distribution networks</strong></td>
<td>Mobile technology for input supply companies to manage their inventory and rural distribution networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enhancing access to markets</strong></td>
<td><strong>Agricultural trading platform</strong></td>
<td>Use of a mobile (and online) portal to bring together farmers and traders for the purposes of buying and selling goods, tendering for services and bartering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agricultural tendering platform</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agricultural bartering platform</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Basis of analysis

For each of the 12 opportunities analysed, models were developed to compute 2020 figures for the number of mobile connections to services and any potential social and environmental benefits, such as increases in agricultural income and carbon savings across 26 countries where Vodafone is present, either through subsidiaries, joint ventures or associates.

For each opportunity, the increase in agricultural income was based on the potential uplift in average value added per agricultural worker. The potential uplift factor was based on empirical field studies where available, rather than attempting to model the complex individual drivers responsible for increasing smallholder income.

Figure 3: Quantitative assessment methodology

Figure 4: Basis of quantitative analysis
For opportunities where it was not possible to model quantifiable benefits, the basis of analysis is not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobile payment system</th>
<th>Micro-insurance system</th>
<th>Micro-lending platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Number of people employed in agriculture</td>
<td>Land under cereal production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Age distribution fixed</td>
<td>Insure crops susceptible to weather events only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key segmentation</td>
<td>Mobile penetration</td>
<td>Amount of fertiliser and seeds applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
<td>Fixed seed input rate across time/geography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Age distribution fixed</td>
<td>Insure crops susceptible to weather events only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption rate</td>
<td>Level (H/M/L)</td>
<td>Price per tonne fertiliser, seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Transactions per month</td>
<td>Fixed price across time/geography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Transaction frequency based on M-PESA study</td>
<td>Fixed price across time/geography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Environmental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile payment system</th>
<th>Micro-insurance system</th>
<th>Micro-lending platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average distance between cities</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Homogeneous distribution of cities, fixed % of remittance trips saved | N/A | N/A |

| Confidence | Low | N/A | N/A |

### Social

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile payment system</th>
<th>Micro-insurance system</th>
<th>Micro-lending platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valued added per agricultural worker</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Fixed % increase on value added per worker | N/A | N/A |

| Confidence | Medium | N/A | N/A |

### Mobile information services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base market</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile information platform</th>
<th>Farmer helpline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm | Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm |

| Confidence | Medium |

### Key segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key segmentation</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile penetration</th>
<th>Mobile penetration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile |

| Confidence | High |

### Adoption rate

| Adoption rate | Level (H/M/L) | High | Medium |

### Commercial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile information platform</th>
<th>Farmer helpline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subscription rate per month</td>
<td>Call rate per month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Fixed rate over time/geography | Fixed rate over time/geography |

| Confidence | Medium |

### Environmental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile information platform</th>
<th>Farmer helpline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water saving on agricultural withdrawals, Carbon saving on agricultural CO₂ emissions</td>
<td>Carbon saving on agricultural CO₂ emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Fixed % saving on total withdrawals and emissions | Fixed % saving on emissions |

| Confidence | Medium |

### Social

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mobile information platform</th>
<th>Farmer helpline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valued added per agricultural worker</td>
<td>Valued added per agricultural worker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Assumptions                          | Fixed % increase on value added per worker | Fixed % increase on value added per worker |

| Confidence | Medium |

---

**Connected Agriculture:** The role of mobile in driving efficiency and sustainability in the food and agriculture value chain
### Supply chain management and optimisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smart logistics</th>
<th>Traceability and tracking system</th>
<th>Management of supplier networks</th>
<th>Management of distribution networks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base market</strong></td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Crops, fruit, meat, eggs and milk included</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key segmentation</strong></td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Number of monitoring agents per exporter</td>
<td>Number of field agents working for exporters</td>
<td>Number of distributors per smallholder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Average tonnage per truck, average distance travelled per truck</td>
<td>Suppliers proportional to ratio of export to production</td>
<td>Fixed number of smallholders per exporter, fixed number of agents per smallholder</td>
<td>Fixed number of distributors per smallholder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adoption rate</strong></td>
<td>Level (H/M/L)</td>
<td>Number of M2M devices</td>
<td>Number of mobile devices</td>
<td>Number of mobile devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>One device per unit</td>
<td>One device per unit</td>
<td>One device per unit</td>
<td>One device per unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Average saving on distance</td>
<td>Efficiency saving on transported produce</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Distance between cities proxy for average distance travelled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Fixed efficiency saving</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mobile agricultural trade services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Agricultural trading platform</th>
<th>Agricultural tendering platform</th>
<th>Agricultural bartering platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base market</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
<td>Number of smallholdings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
<td>Smallholding defined as 2 ha farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key segmentation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Mobile penetration</td>
<td>Mobile penetration</td>
<td>Mobile penetration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
<td>Used as proxy for proportion of population who have access to mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adoption rate</strong></td>
<td>Level (H/M/L)</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium–Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Transactions per month</td>
<td>Transactions per month</td>
<td>Transactions per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Transaction frequency based on M-PESA study</td>
<td>Transaction frequency based on M-PESA study</td>
<td>Transaction frequency based on M-PESA study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Average distance between cities</td>
<td>Average distance between cities</td>
<td>Average distance between cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Homogeneous distribution of cities, fixed % of trips to market saved</td>
<td>Homogeneous distribution of cities, fixed % of trips to market saved</td>
<td>Homogeneous distribution of cities, fixed % of trips to market saved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Value added per agricultural worker</td>
<td>Value added per agricultural worker</td>
<td>Value added per agricultural worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Fixed % increase on value added per worker</td>
<td>Fixed % increase on value added per worker</td>
<td>Fixed % increase on value added per worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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